

1. Please rate the following service related questions.

	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	Not sure/Not applicable	Rating Average	Response Count
How would you rate the WRIA 8 team services in your watershed overall?	42.3% (11)	46.2% (12)	7.7% (2)	3.8% (1)	0.0% (0)	4.27	26
How well does the WRIA 8 team identify watershed priorities and work programs?	36.0% (9)	48.0% (12)	8.0% (2)	4.0% (1)	4.0% (1)	4.08	25
How would you rate the WRIA 8 team effectiveness in coordinating and facilitating committee and Salmon Recovery Council meetings?	50.0% (13)	38.5% (10)	0.0% (0)	7.7% (2)	3.8% (1)	4.23	26
How well does the WRIA 8 team do in helping project sponsors identify, develop and fund projects?	38.5% (10)	34.6% (9)	15.4% (4)	3.8% (1)	7.7% (2)	3.92	26
How well does the WRIA 8 team do in tracking and advising you of new emerging issues of relevance to WRIA 8's salmon recovery effort and overall watershed condition?	42.3% (11)	46.2% (12)	3.8% (1)	7.7% (2)	0.0% (0)	4.23	26
How would you rate the technical services of the WRIA 8 staff (team) including tracking technical issues for WRIA 8, developing a monitoring and adaptive management framework, and monitoring habitat conditions in the watershed?	38.5% (10)	38.5% (10)	3.8% (1)	7.7% (2)	11.5% (3)	3.85	26
answered question							26
skipped question							0

2. Please rate how well our collective WRIA 8 partnership is doing in implementing the following actions in our watershed.

	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	Not sure/Not applicable	Rating Average	Response Count
Habitat restoration and acquisition projects	30.8% (8)	46.2% (12)	19.2% (5)	3.8% (1)	0.0% (0)	4.04	26
Outreach, education, stewardship	24.0% (6)	52.0% (13)	16.0% (4)	8.0% (2)	0.0% (0)	3.92	25
Land use programs (both regulatory and incentive)	7.7% (2)	53.8% (14)	15.4% (4)	11.5% (3)	11.5% (3)	3.35	26
Monitoring and Adaptive Management	12.0% (3)	60.0% (15)	12.0% (3)	4.0% (1)	12.0% (3)	3.56	25
Securing funding for plan implementation	7.7% (2)	65.4% (17)	15.4% (4)	3.8% (1)	7.7% (2)	3.62	26
answered question							26
skipped question							0

3. What do you see as the most valuable services the WRIA 8 team provide for your jurisdiction or interest?

	Response Count
	16
answered question	16
skipped question	10






**4. Does the WRIA 8 team allocate effort appropriately across program areas or initiatives?
If not, what changes would you like to see?**

	Response Count
	16
answered question	16
skipped question	10





5. Do you have any additional comments?

	Response Count
	4
answered question	4
skipped question	22

6. Please indicate which choice best describes your relationship to the WRIA 8 team:

		Response Percent	Response Count
Elected Official		26.1%	6
Jurisdiction Staff		52.2%	12
Landowner/Citizen		4.3%	1
Non-Profit		13.0%	3
Other		4.3%	1
	answered question		23
	skipped question		3

7. How many years have you been a client?

		Response Percent	Response Count
0 - 2 years		13.0%	3
3 - 5 years		30.4%	7
6 - 10 years		21.7%	5
10 + years		34.8%	8
answered question			23
skipped question			3

Page 2, Q3. What do you see as the most valuable services the WRIA 8 team provide for your jurisdiction or interest?

1	Waterfront homeowners education.	Dec 18, 2012 8:06 PM
2	Maintain funding allocation; keep local cities involved.	Dec 18, 2012 8:02 PM
3	edmonds Marsh	Dec 18, 2012 5:16 PM
4	Property acquisitions that lead to riverine, riparian and floodplain habitat restoration on salmon-bearing rivers and streams, especially the Cedar River, which has serious flooding problems.	Dec 18, 2012 3:41 PM
5	Developing projects for SRFB and KCD	Dec 18, 2012 12:58 PM
6	providing information to keep us abreast of current and imminent issues and funding opportunities	Dec 13, 2012 8:59 AM
7	Facilitate grant rounds; advocate for funding	Dec 13, 2012 8:00 AM
8	Information provision is the most crucial.	Dec 10, 2012 2:27 PM
9	Keeping us informed of current issues relating to salmon recovery.	Dec 5, 2012 11:34 AM
10	Providing a coordinated, science-based approach	Dec 5, 2012 9:13 AM
11	Looking out for issues that affect Seattle. Excellent assistance and responsiveness with project proposals/applications.	Dec 4, 2012 11:44 AM
12	WRIA 8 provides information on what is being done to improve habitat and restore the salmon population	Dec 4, 2012 11:44 AM
13	Bringing back Salmon to WIRA 8	Dec 4, 2012 11:29 AM
14	identifying issues and focussing community-wide efforts at improving conditions for salmon	Dec 4, 2012 10:51 AM
15	Being kept informed of current and emerging issues.	Dec 4, 2012 10:48 AM
16	Look at the whole watershed and not just focus on recovery. Fixing the root problem can be the most important step in recovery.	Dec 4, 2012 10:08 AM

Page 2, Q4. Does the WRIA 8 team allocate effort appropriately across program areas or initiatives? If not, what changes would you like to see?

1	More support for the kokanee restoration program in Lake Sammamish. The citizens are truly into this program, along with the Kokanee Work Group.	Dec 18, 2012 8:06 PM
2	Lead entity staff is overpaid for services they provide. Either pay them less, or get better people for the salaries that are paid.	Dec 18, 2012 8:02 PM
3	Less Chinook sentric! More all fish and habitate restoration!	Dec 18, 2012 5:16 PM
4	Yes.	Dec 18, 2012 3:41 PM
5	I would like to see more time spent on project development.	Dec 18, 2012 12:58 PM
6	The funding issues take up so much time that some of the strategic planning and prioritization equally across the entire landscape suffers from my perspective. Chasing the funds versus changes across the watershed. If you could erase the jurisdictional lines (and accompanying political priorities or lack thereof) and make priortizations based upon the watershed "landscape", I often wonder how decisions may be affected. I do recognize that the default position will always include "what is worth lobbying for" and "who is paying attention".	Dec 13, 2012 8:59 AM
7	More effort tracking implementation and progress as well as reporting	Dec 13, 2012 8:00 AM
8	They do.	Dec 10, 2012 2:27 PM
9	Yes.	Dec 6, 2012 12:12 PM
10	Current effort is good.	Dec 5, 2012 11:34 AM
11	Given the level of resources yes.	Dec 4, 2012 11:44 AM
12	I feel that the WRIA 8 team could have done a better job of keeping the Committee informed about the funding issues surrounding King County Conservation. I attended the KCC annual meeting last month and got a much better picture than I had received from the WRIA 8 meetings and discussions on this issue. Specifically, I posed questions about this via email and got fuzzy answers. I think it is WRIA 8's job to keep us informed about issues like this.	Dec 4, 2012 11:44 AM
13	I think they give a good bang for the buck	Dec 4, 2012 11:29 AM
14	It all seems to be about habitat restoration. I'd like to see more in-stream work.	Dec 4, 2012 10:51 AM
15	Allocation has followed the recovery plan which means portions of the basin receive higher level of actions.	Dec 4, 2012 10:48 AM
16	No. Need to consider adverse effects of legislative actions.	Dec 4, 2012 10:08 AM

Page 2, Q5. Do you have any additional comments?

1	Lead entity (King County) appears to fund itself first, and conservation measures second. Politically difficult decisions (e.g., regulatory and enforcement efforts) are not addressed. WRIA 8 Council and staff do not have a significant influence on federal policies or actions, and have not significantly increased awareness or support for salmon recovery among the general public.	Dec 18, 2012 8:02 PM
2	Try to ramp up the Ballard Locks needs with the Corps/Congress.	Dec 10, 2012 11:22 AM
3	I think they're doing a fantatsic job, especially given the complexity of task	Dec 5, 2012 9:13 AM
4	Keep up the good work!	Dec 4, 2012 11:44 AM