

Snoqualmie Watershed Forum

MEETING SUMMARY

May 26, 2010

Meeting 7:00 - 9:30 p.m.

Snoqualmie City Hall, Snoqualmie, WA

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Elizabeth Walker	City of Duvall, Councilmember (Chair)
Charles Peterson	City of Snoqualmie, Councilmember (Vice-chair)
Chris Garcia	City of North Bend, Councilmember
Jim Berger	City of Carnation, Councilmember
Kathy Lambert	King County, Councilmember
Cindy Spiry	Snoqualmie Tribe (Alternate)
Bill Knutsen	Citizen Representative

MEETING PROCEEDINGS

Introductions and Minutes

- Chair Walker welcomed two representatives of the Tulalip Tribes to the meeting – Terry Williams and Kimberly Ordon.
- The Forum approved the March 24, 2010 meeting summary.

Announcements

- *Kit Rawson of the Tulalip Tribes will give a presentation to the Forum at the July 21 meeting about the harvest and hatchery components of salmon recovery:* As harvest co-managers, the Tulalip Tribes are actively engaged in the science and management of salmon harvest and also work with the State of Washington to manage salmon hatcheries. The presentation has been scheduled in response to questions from Forum members about the role of the harvest sector in salmon recovery.
- *Army Corps Letter Regarding Levee Vegetation Standards:* Janne Kaje recapped the final letter from the Snoqualmie Watershed Forum to the Army Corps of Engineers. Following the March meeting, the draft letter was amended to incorporate a suggestion from Councilmember Garcia requesting a two-year delay in implementing the new vegetation management rule – to mirror a request in the Flood Control District letter. Staff are not aware of any response or final action by the Corps.
- *King County Executive's letter regarding large wood and recreational safety public rule:* Janne provided a summary of the letter from King County Executive Constantine to the County Council that accompanied the final transmittal of the large wood placement public rule. The

Executive acknowledged the high level of concern expressed by many respondents about the potential effects of the rule on capital projects for habitat restoration, and the difficulty of balancing important objectives within the boundaries of the Council ordinance. The Executive has directed the Department of Natural Resources and Parks to monitor the effects of the new rule on project outcomes and will report back to Council on this matter in the future. [A copy of the letter was provided in the meeting packet].

- *Agriculture-related updates:*
 - The Ames Creek water quality study has been completed and presented to landowners at a public meeting. KCD will work with interested landowners to implement best management practices to address impairments to water quality. As described in a previous presentation, one potential follow up action is to train farmers to conduct bacterial sampling of surface water on and around farms, particularly where edible food crops are grown.
 - The Snoqualmie Watershed Forum (Yvette) as well as the WRIA 9 Forum gave presentations about respective salmon recovery efforts and Forums to the King County Agriculture Commission. Yvette highlighted the long history of collaboration in the Snoqualmie between fish and agriculture interests. She also highlighted areas for future ag-fish coordination, including alluvial fans, streamlining agricultural drainage requirements, and development of future restoration projects on Agricultural Production District lands.
 - A floodplain planting project in the lower Snoqualmie in Snohomish County triggered substantial consternation among upstream King County landowners. The project was not initiated by King or Snohomish County salmon recovery programs. Rather it was led by the Natural Resource Conservation Service, the national umbrella organization of the Conservation Districts. The project – which is intended to eventually reforest a substantial section of floodplain – is reportedly funded via federal stimulus funds and is being implemented with a willing landowner. Concerns about long-term flood impacts and about site drainage led to a series of meetings between stakeholders. The final disposition of the project and process is not clear, but NRCS has pledged to try to address concerns about drainage. The project highlights the importance of coordination between various interest groups, particularly in floodplain areas.
- *Shoreline Master Program meeting:* All four cities in the Snoqualmie Valley are in various stages of updating their respective Shoreline Master Programs pursuant to State law. In April Forum staff organized a meeting between staff from the Department of Ecology and valley city staff. The group discussed questions and challenges that city staff are encountering as they undertake the Shoreline characterizations. DOE also clarified what type of support they could offer. The meeting was productive and may lead to further follow up meetings later this year.
- *Tolt River Corridor Study:* The Flood Control District is initiating a Tolt River Corridor Study that will result in a strategic plan for Tolt River project sequencing and prioritization. The roughly \$200K study will focus on the lower 6 miles of the Tolt River and will identify potential projects to improve human safety, including levee setback projects, property acquisitions and other opportunities. The Snoqualmie Forum has agreed to contribute \$10K so the study can include analysis of habitat restoration opportunities in this high-priority recovery area. Forum

staff will have direct input into the scope of work. Project management will be provided by the FCD. The study will be a win-win for flood protection and salmon recovery efforts. The funds from the Snoqualmie Forum were originally designated for the fish-passage barrier prioritization project, but that effort will be funded at a higher level by King County instead – thus allowing us to reallocate the funds to this Tolt Corridor effort.

- *King County Property Tax Amendment:* Yvette thanked Ross Loudonback (North Bend Councilmember/Forum alternate representative) for bringing the King County property tax amendment proposal to the Forum’s attention. In response to an e-mail subsequently sent by Yvette, several representatives of the Snoqualmie Valley came to the County Council meeting to comment on the proposal, which would have significantly reduced funding for flood protection, salmon recovery and the King Conservation District (in order to address a public safety budget shortfall). Neither of the most recent proposed ordinances were passed by a supermajority, a requirement for placement on the August ballot. It is not clear what proposals may come forward in the coming months for inclusion on the November ballot.

2010 KCD Regular Round Grant Recommendations

Overview of Snoqualmie KCD Grant Program and 2010 Funding Amount

Perry reviewed the graphic that explains how the King Conservation District \$10/parcel assessment is allocated across KCD operations, the so-called “WRIA Grants” that includes our Snoqualmie grant round, and member jurisdiction grants that provide funding directly to cities and counties in the KCD service area [see meeting handout for details].

From a total KCD assessment of approximately \$6,000,000 county-wide, roughly \$600,000 (10%) is allocated to the Snoqualmie Forum KCD Grant program. However, about 5% of that amount remains with KCD for administration of the grant contracts. This leaves approximately \$570,000 for our two grant programs: the “Regular Round” that is the topic today, and the smaller “Opportunity Fund” that will be the topic of a meeting later this year. For the past several years, the Forum has opted to allocate \$100,000 to the Opportunity Fund (which is matched by KCD). This leaves \$470,000 for the Regular Round. Finally, as part of the Regular Round, Forum staff have written a grant each year to the KCD to support Forum project support and capacity building program (which if secured is used to offset the city ILA cost shares). The grant has been specifically targeted to support activities that are in alignment with KCD priorities and to date that grant has always been approved. King County and the Snoqualmie Tribe have paid their respective cost shares directly.

Perry described the process for ranking grant proposals by the staff committee that includes representatives from each member jurisdiction. This year, the committee also invited Jessica Saavedra (KCD) and Ralph Svrjcek (Department of Ecology) to participate in the grant discussions due to their unique areas of expertise in grant administration and water quality, respectively. However, neither participated in the numerical ranking of projects.

Perry provided a brief description of each project proposal [see meeting handout 2c]. The project descriptions are not repeated here, but key points of discussion are summarized below. The discussion is divided into Tier 1 (Projects 1-7) and Tier 2 (Projects 8-13) to coincide with the committee’s two recommendations.

Tier 1 Projects

Project 1: Stillwater Wildlife Area Floodplain Restoration – Construction Phase

Councilmember Lambert asked whether any additional flood storage will be provided as a result of the project. Perry noted that at least 1000 ft of existing levee will be removed which will slightly increase flood storage, but it is not an explicit purpose of the project. Perry also noted that in the Chinook Bend project, levee fill was provided to farmers for the construction of farm pads (i.e., high-ground flood refuge for animals and equipment) and that a similar arrangement may be possible at Stillwater.

Several questions were raised about public outreach and whether the project is projected to have any impact on surrounding landowners. Perry highlighted the modeling efforts that have been undertaken to simulate potential changes in the river channel as a result of Stillwater and Chinook Bend, which is located just upstream on the opposite bank. All indications are that risks to private properties are nonexistent or extremely minor. Wild Fish Conservancy has initiated public outreach to specific landowners and will be holding public meetings this summer.

Project 2: Investigation of Low Dissolved Oxygen in the Cherry Creek Floodplain

The proposal is very similar to one from 2009 submitted by Wild Fish Conservancy that did not receive funding. At the time, committee members supported the concept but felt that a prior investigation needed to be completed first to provide a better understanding of the problem. That report has since been finalized and the committee strongly supports the current proposal.

Project 3: Raging River Knotweed Control Phase 2

Forum members asked about the necessity of using direct injection of herbicide into plant stems to eradicate knotweed – which seems like a labor intensive method. Perry described that this methodology is based on extensive experience with knotweed eradication in the watershed. Typically, the first year of control is based on direct injection. Injected herbicide not only kills the surface foliage but the plant draws the herbicide down to the roots. This is particularly important with knotweed because the plant can propagate from fragments of living plant material and will grow back if roots are left viable. The second year of control is often limited to localized foliar spraying.

Project 4: Riparian Restoration at Stillwater Wildlife Area, 2010

The project sponsor (Stilly-Snohomish Fisheries Enhancement Task Force) has conducted extensive plantings in the Stillwater area in the past. The current proposal would extend plantings into a very challenging environment of reed canary grass infestation along Harris Creek. Forum members inquired about the effectiveness of goats for reed canary grass control and whether County inmate crews might be useful for this type of project. Perry agreed to forward both suggestions to the project sponsor. The Taskforce typically works closely with an extensive volunteer network of school groups and other organizations to implement planting projects.

Project 5: Riparian Restoration for Patterson Creek and Raging River - Phase 2

This King County proposal is closely linked to the ongoing EPA-funded “Stewardship in Action” project that the Forum has heard about in the past. As noted below, the committee recommends funding at a level of \$60,000 despite a request for \$80,000.

Project 6: Fall City Park Habitat Restoration, Maintenance and Monitoring Project

The Snoqualmie Tribe's riparian planting project at Fall City Park has several different funding sources. The federal grant that funded Phase 1 of planting did not provide funding for maintenance and monitoring. The current proposal is intended to fill that gap. Subsequent funding for Phase 2 planting has been secured and includes maintenance funds for several years.

Project 7: Snoqualmie Forest Stewardship

Prior to 2010, this program was funded county-wide by King County. It was cut last year due to budget shortfalls. Project sponsor WSU Extension hopes to maintain this program in all King County watersheds, but the current proposal is for the Snoqualmie watershed only. The Forum provided one year stop-gap funding to this program in 2010 (using 2009 operating/consulting funds) to keep the program afloat. The current proposal would extend the program by two more years. Councilmember Lambert suggested that perhaps KCTV could record the training sessions so that the program can be exported to a larger number of landowners. Perry agreed to forward the recommendation to the project sponsor. Currently the sessions are being recorded for subsequent posting on the internet.

Tier 2 Projects

Project 8: South Fork Snoqualmie Invasive Knotweed Control Project – Phase 3

This project will likely not need any funding from the Snoqualmie Watershed Forum due to a pending EPA grant that has been nominally approved but not yet finalized. Perry will keep the Forum apprised of any changes in status for this project.

Project 9: Three Forks Natural Area Meadowbrook Slough Restoration Phase 2

This City of Snoqualmie project is a continuation of a prior Phase and includes removal of invasive plants and other activities to enhance public enjoyment of the site.

Project 10: Tollgate/South Fork Snoqualmie River and Forest Restoration – Phase 1

This project will complete an initial survey and develop a plan for eradication of invasive plants at Tollgate Farm in North Bend. Councilmember Peterson noted that a prior effort at Tollgate Farm to eradicate invasive plants and to conduct riparian planting does not appear to be doing very well just looking from the road. It was not entirely clear whether the earlier effort is at a location that was previously worked on by Mountains to Sounds Greenway Trust – the same group that will help the City of North Bend with the proposed project. Jamie Burrell agreed to follow up with MTS GT about Mr. Peterson's comments.

Project 11: Phase 1: Lower Langlois / Indian Creek Instream Fish Habitat and Riparian Restoration Project (Design Phase)

Wild Fish Conservancy completed a restoration project in lower Indian Creek last year via the Opportunity Fund. The current proposal would expand on that effort and reach out to a larger number of streamside property owners who may be willing to have habitat projects undertaken on their land.

Project 12: Snoqualmie Restoration Maintenance Crew 2011

Perry noted that the Forum has funded maintenance efforts by Stewardship Partners for four years. The original intent was to have a group focus on providing maintenance for projects that may not have received sufficient funding from other grantors to support monitoring and maintenance. The KCD has not received final grant reports for any of Stewardship Partners' prior maintenance grants, though they are reportedly in preparation. The Project Review Committee also could discern which projects listed in the grant had critical maintenance needs versus routine maintenance.

Project 13: City-wide Knotweed Removal Program

Perry explained that while the proposal was at the bottom of the list in terms of points, all committee members were very supportive of the projects goals. It is important to get smaller-scale noxious weed eradication started within each jurisdiction. Janne Kaje noted that it is not uncommon for the Forum to receive a proposal that doesn't fit neatly into grant program criteria, but that is nevertheless consistent with our funding priorities. The numerical scoring is important, but it is not the only basis for awarding funding to a project.

Grant Recommendations

Perry described the ranking committee's two recommendations.

Committee Recommendation #1: Fund projects 1-7 and forward to KCD Board for approval with Project 5 reduced from \$80,000 to \$60,000. The total recommended funding level for projects 1-7 is \$278,283.

DECISION: Recommendation #1 approved unanimously by the Forum. See attachment A for list of grant amounts.

Committee Recommendation #2: Provide partial funding to projects #9,10, & 13 (total \$85,000). Hold these grants until the Forum's 2011 Project Support and Capacity Building KCD grant amount is confirmed. If the Forum's 2011 grant increases, the Project Review Committee will decide which grant will be reduced.

Councilmember Garcia recommended approval as well as his support for the committee's ability to make subsequent decisions about remaining funds.

DECISION: Recommendation #2 approved unanimously by the Forum, including committee role in determining disposition of remaining funds. See Attachment A for list of grant amounts.

Snoqualmie Watershed Forum ILA - Narrative

Yvette explained that the Interlocal Agreement (ILA) discussion will be in two parts – the first focused on the narrative section of the agreement, the second on the ILA budget and funding strategy.

Yvette referred Forum members to a draft ILA amendment document in the package, along with a proposed ILA ratification schedule. As previously discussed and as recommended by King County's attorney, staff recommends that the Forum pursue a 5-year extension to the current agreement rather than a wholly new ILA. In addition to extending the agreement to 2015, the draft amendment incorporates the following changes recommended at the March 24 Forum meeting:

1. Addition of one non-voting seat for the King Conservation District
2. Addition of one non-voting seat to represent non-governmental organizations (NGOs) that are active in the watershed.
3. Clarifies service terms for each of the member seats

Forum members agreed that the current language regarding ILA termination is strong enough to give any member jurisdiction the option to cease participation, if necessary.

Staff recommends that Forum recommendations regarding reinforcing attendance requirements be handled through a separate policy document rather than through incorporation into the ILA amendment itself. Forum members agreed.

Regarding proposed term language for the KCD seat, Forum members recommended that the term should be the same 4 years as for the other members. Only the new NGO seat will have a shorter term of 2 years to facilitate rotation between different organizations. Forum members also recommended that language regarding minimum terms is not needed.

Action: Yvette will email a revised version of the ILA amendment incorporating the above suggestions by June 3. Forum members are asked to vet the revised ILA amendment by their attorneys and any sub-committees and advise Forum staff of any edits or changes by June 9. Once any further changes are integrated and approved, staff will email a final version of the ILA amendment for ratification by member governments. Local governments are asked ratify the agreement through their Councils by July 30 (for cities and Snoqualmie Tribe) and by September (for King County). For efficiency, member governments should hold off signing the agreement until all have ratified it. Staff will advise governments when they should submit signed copies.

Snoqualmie Forum ILA – 2011 Budget and Funding Strategy

Yvette summarized the draft 2011 budget projections for the Forum. The draft budget is just under \$460,000 that includes \$31,000 in operating costs and the remainder in staff salaries, benefits, overhead, etc. The budget supports approximately 2.66 FTEs as follows:

- FTE – Watershed Coordinator, Yvette Lizée-Smith
- FTE – Project Coordinator, Perry Falcone
- 0.5 FTE – Technical/Policy Program Manager, Janne Kaje
- 0.16 FTE – Administrative Support, Maureen Dahlstrom

The cost shares for each member jurisdiction (with the exception of the Snoqualmie Tribe) is based on a proportional calculation that averages each jurisdiction's share of population, geographic area and assessed property value. The Snoqualmie Tribe pays a flat fee of \$5,000 per year. The sum of city cost shares for 2011 are estimated as \$104,000.

The budget is usually presented later in the year after initial estimates are more fully developed by King County. Staff will provide more detailed estimates at the July meeting.

Sandy Kilroy advised the Forum that King County cannot increase its cost-share in 2011. The County needs to be consistent across its three WRIA ILAs and WRIA 8 and 9 have elected to not increase their cost-share amounts in 2011. King County asked that Snoqualmie Forum keep its cost-share amount at 2010 levels next year.

DECISION: The Forum agreed to maintain 2011 cost-shares at 2010 levels.

In follow up to the March 24 Snoqualmie Watershed Forum meeting, staff were asked to assemble and provide the following analysis:

1. *Ideas for possible project submissions to King Conservation District (KCD) that aligned with KCD interests and priorities – and thus might be seen as mutually beneficial by the District.*

Yvette described several KCD grant concepts that staff believe are closely aligned with KCD priorities [see handout for detailed descriptions]. These include:

- Enhancement of project support and technical services to project sponsors in the watershed.
- Monitoring of habitat project implementation and effectiveness for projects funded by the Forum's KCD grant programs.
- Development of solutions for alluvial fan problems in collaboration with KCD. Councilmember Lambert expressed strong support for this initiative which has been identified as a high priority for rural landowners.
- Other concepts, such as implementing on-the-ground water quality improvement actions or working with jurisdictions on implementation of low-impact development approaches could also be attractive to KCD. However, for these types of efforts funding would likely be used in part to support contractors or other entities and would thus fail to address the Forum's budget challenges.

Staff will meet with KCD staff to further develop the proposal with an aim of incorporating those elements that most align with KCD interests.

2. *Ideas for other grant funding sources the Forum might investigate to fund elements of its work program and off-set cost-shares.*

Staff investigated several external sources for grant funding to support Forum activities. See meeting handout 3b for details. Very few grant sources fund non-capital operations or do so only in direct support of an associated capital project.

- WRIA 7 EPA Grant: Snohomish County was recently awarded a grant to develop a habitat protection strategy as part of the overall plan for Snohomish Basin salmon recovery. Snoqualmie Forum staff helped to write the grant application and are included as partners in the project, as are staff from the Tulalip Tribes. Based on initial discussions with Snohomish County, it is possible that Forum staff could take on a larger role in the grant-funded project.

However, while the grant is generally very much in line with the Forum's own work program, the grant is structured at the Snohomish basin scale so that some of our efforts would not be limited to a Snoqualmie watershed context. Staff will continue to discuss this option with Snohomish County.

- WRIA 7 PSAR Funds: As the lead entity for WRIA 7, Snohomish County receives some biennial capacity funds from Puget Sound Acquisition and Restoration program to support staffing for recovery needs including the Project Working Group co-chair and to help manage the Habitat Work Schedule database. Currently, the PSAR funded position is vacant and hiring may take some time. Snoqualmie Forum may be able to get funding from this source if there are sufficient cost-savings from the vacancy, as the position is fully funded through end of 2011.
- Puget Sound Partnership – EcoNet: The PSP-EcoNet program may receive an EPA block grant to support outreach and education initiatives. PSP staff have indicated that some portion may be available to focus on rural stormwater related issues, while the bulk of the funds will likely be directed to urban stormwater and shoreline related activities. Details to be confirmed.
- Bonneville Environmental Foundation – Model watershed Program: The BEF Model watershed program is based on a partnership between the foundation and grant recipients to support long-term commitments to science-based restoration, monitoring and adaptive management. Most of BEF funding is concentrated in the Columbia River basin, but Puget Sound initiatives have occasionally been funded. BEF is currently undergoing a strategic program review and will not solicit new applications until at least mid- to late 2011.
- Bullitt Foundation: Not eligible. Non-profit organizations only.
- Centennial Clean Water Program (Washington Department of Ecology): The Centennial Grant program funds initiatives that combine on-the-ground efforts with outreach and other programmatic efforts. The grants are very competitive. While the Forum could conceivably partner with other organizations to apply for a grant, the majority of funds would likely support activities by partners rather than by Forum staff.

Based on this initial review, the KCD grant program still appears to be the most viable source of funds to support Forum activities, although limited funding from WRIA 7 (EPA Grant and/or PSAR) is a fairly strong possibility.

Recommendation: Yvette concluded that staff will work with KCD staff to develop viable proposal for funding via KCD (primarily to offset city cost-shares). Staff will also continue discussions with Snohomish County regarding WRIA 7 funding opportunities to support Forum activities (to help offset King County's cost-share). The Forum supported this recommendation.

3. *Suggestions for reducing costs.*

The draft budget shows that beyond staffing, the Forum has only about \$31,000 dollars available to support its operations for costs such as printing, graphics, equipment supplies, consulting services, etc. These funds have often been used to provide targeted support to

specific initiatives, such as the Ames Creek water quality investigation in 2008-2010, stopgap support for high-priority basin programs, project technical support for member jurisdictions, and other initiatives. Small cuts could potentially be made, likely by trimming availability of consulting services, but these cuts would not significantly reduce the overall budget. Staffing costs can be reduced by reducing FTEs, but the Forum operates with a small number of staff to support a robust work program. If necessary, staffing cuts can also be discussed at a future date.

4. *Data showing how much funding has been leveraged through Forum grants and staff support.*

The Forum has been very effective at utilizing our staff resources and the KCD grant funds to bring additional money into the watershed for habitat restoration, research, monitoring, outreach and other activities. One measure of our ability to leverage resources is the amount of match dollars that have been associated with KCD Regular Round and Opportunity Fund projects. Since 1998, the Regular Round has disbursed nearly \$4,000,000 to a variety of projects and has leveraged another \$25,000,000 for an overall ratio of more than 6:1. The Opportunity Fund, which focuses on small-scale landowner-focused projects, has disbursed roughly \$540,000 in its three years of existence and has leveraged approximately \$270,000 in additional funding for a 0.5:1 match. Altogether, the Forum's work program and grant rounds have brought nearly \$30,000,000 into the watershed over 12 years in the form of project funding.

Councilmember Walker thanked Forum staff for their efforts in exploring funding options and for bringing the information forward for consideration. Bill Knutsen reminded the Forum that the KCD funding picture is far from secure due to ongoing budget discussions at King County Council (which approves the KCD assessment), and the recent lawsuit against KCD and King County that questions the validity of the assessment and how it is spent.

Councilmember Lambert expressed that support for the KCD in general is lacking with some members of the County Council and that she is not supportive of folding salmon recovery funding into the Flood Control District framework. One of the recent Council budget proposals considered putting such an approach on the ballot, but the ordinance did not pass. She thanked City of Snoqualmie Mayor Larson for attending the County Council hearing and supporting the interests of the small cities and rural communities in King County.

Public Comment

Terry Williams (Tulalip Tribes) commented [at the beginning of the meeting] on changes in hydrologic patterns in the basin and the linkage between forest conditions and flows. Mr. Williams urged the Forum to support development of a monitoring system to track flows and changes in forest condition. The restoration of forest duff layers, canopy and wetlands are needed to restore more natural hydrology, particularly as climate change effects manifest through hydrology.

Next Meeting

The next meeting will be Wednesday, July 21 from 5:00-9:00 pm at Preston Community Center. Meet for the annual habitat project tour at 4:45 (vans leave at 5:00), followed by a light meal and the regular meeting.

Attachment A: 2010 Snoqualmie Watershed Forum KCD Regular Round Grant Decision

Rank	Project Name	Applicant	KCD Request	Committee Recommendation and Forum Decision
1	Stillwater Wildlife Area Floodplain Restoration – Construction Phase	Wild Fish Conservancy	\$50,000	\$50,000
2	Investigation of Low Dissolved Oxygen in the Cherry Creek Floodplain	Wild Fish Conservancy	\$50,000	\$50,000
3	Raging River Knotweed Control Phase 2	Mountains to Sound Greenway Trust	\$20,000	\$20,000
4	Riparian Restoration at Stillwater Wildlife Area, 2010	Stilly Snohomish Fisheries Enhancement Task Force	\$50,000	\$50,000
5	Riparian Restoration for Patterson Creek and Raging River - Phase 2	King County	\$80,000	\$60,000
6	Fall City Park Habitat Restoration, Maintenance and Monitoring Project	Snoqualmie Tribe	\$30,000	\$30,000
7	Snoqualmie Forest Stewardship	WSU Extension	\$18,283	\$18,283
Decision #1: Fund projects #1-7 and forward to KCD Board for approval. (Total \$278,283)				
8	South Fork Snoqualmie Invasive Knotweed Control Project – Phase 3	King County	\$60,000	\$0
9	Three Forks Natural Area Meadowbrook Slough Restoration Phase 2	Snoqualmie	\$45,000	\$40,000
10	Tollgate/South Fork Snoqualmie River and Forest Restoration – Phase 1	North Bend	\$43,000	\$40,000
11	Phase 1: Lower Langlois / Indian Creek Instream Fish Habitat and Riparian Restoration Project (Design Phase)	Wild Fish Conservancy	\$18,925	\$0
12	Snoqualmie Restoration Maintenance Crew 2011	Stewardship Partners	\$50,000	\$0
13	City-wide Knotweed Removal Program	Duvall	\$6,500	\$5,000
N/A	2011 Forum Project Implementation Grant	King County on behalf of the cities	\$110,000	\$110,000
Decision #2: Provide partial funding to projects #9,10, & 13 (total \$85,000). Hold these grants until the Forum's Project Implementation grant amount is confirmed. If this grant increases, the Project Review Committee will decide which grant will be reduced				
Cummulative Totals			\$631,708	\$473,283